



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,957	05/04/2005	Khaledul M. Islam	PAT 800W-2	8092
26123	7590	05/21/2009	EXAMINER	
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP				SEFCHECK, GREGORY B
Anne Kinsman				
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA				
100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100				
OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9				2419
CANADA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE			DELIVERY MODE	
05/21/2009			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com
aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com
akinsman@blgcanada.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,957	ISLAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY B. SEFCHECK	2419	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GREGORY B. SEFCHECK, Examiner of Record. (3) _____.

(2) Curtis Behmann, Applicant's Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Behmann contacted the office seeking confirmation of the status of the Office Action filed 5/11/2009 as either final or non-final. I confirmed that the form paragraph indicating finality was inadvertently omitted at the end of the Office Action and that the the Action was, in fact, a Final Rejection as indicated on the Office Action Summary. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Gregory B Sefcheck/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2419
--	---